# Auditing Procedures Report v1.04





Issued under Public Act 2 of 1968, as amended.

Unit Name 38th District Court	County MACOMB	Туре	OTHER	MuniCode	50-7-555
Opinion Date-Use Calendar Jul 24, 2008 Audit Submitted-Use Calendar Jul 28, 2008			Fiscal Year-Use Drop	List	2008

If a local unit of government (authorities & commissions included) is operating within the boundaries of the audited entity and is NOT included in this or any other audit report, nor do they obtain a stand-alone audit, enclose the name(s), address(es), and a description(s) of the authority and/or commission.

# Place a check next to each "Yes" or non-applicable question below. Questions left unmarked should be those you wish to answer "No".

"No	n .	
X	•	component units/funds/agencies of the local unit included in the financial statements and/or disclosed in the es to the financial statements?
X	2. Does the local ur	nit have a positive fund balance in all of its unreserved fund balances/unrestricted net assets?
X	3. Were the local ur	nit's actual expenditures within the amounts authorized in the budget?
X	4. Is this unit in com	pliance with the Uniform Chart of Accounts issued by the Department of Treasury?
X	5. Did the local unit	adopt a budget for all required funds?
X	6. Was a public hea	aring on the budget held in accordance with State statute?
<u>X</u>		n compliance with the Revised Municipal Finance Act, an order issued under the Emergency Municipal Loan Act as issued by the Local Audit and Finance Division?
×	8. Has the local uni property tax act?	t distributed tax revenues, that were collected for another taxing unit, timely as required by the general
ΙX,	9. Do all deposits/i	investments comply with statutory requirements including the adoption of an investment policy?
X		ree of illegal or unauthorized expenditures that came to your attention as defined in the Bulletin for Audits of nment in Michigan, as revised (see Appendix H of Bulletin.)
Į <b>Σ</b> ,		fany indications of fraud or illegal acts that came to your attention during the course of audit that have not nmunicated to the Local Audit and Finance Division? (If there is such activity, please submit a separate report r.)
×	12. Is the local unit f	ree of repeated reported deficiencies from previous years?
×	13. Is the audit opini	on unqualified?  14. If not, what type of opinion is it?  NA
×	15. Has the local unit	t complied with GASB 34 and other generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP)?
×	16. Has the board or	council approved all disbursements prior to payment as required by charter or statute?
ΙX	17. To your knowled	ge, were the bank reconciliations that were reviewed performed timely?
Г	18. Are there reporte	ed deficiencies? T 19. If so, was it attached to the audit report?

General Fund Revenue:	\$ 0.00
General Fund Expenditure:	\$ 0.00
Major Fund Deficit Amount:	\$ 0.00

General Fund Balance:	\$ 0.00	
Governmental Activities Long-Term Debt (see instructions):	\$ 0.00	

We affirm that we are certified public accountants (CPA) licensed to practice in Michigan. We further affirm the above responses have been disclosed in the financial statements, including the notes, or in the Management Letter (Reported deviations).

CPA (First Name)	Alan	Last Panter Name	Ten Digit Lice	nse Number 1101	020708
CPA Street Address	3511 Coolidge Road, Suite 10	City East Lansing	State MI	Zip Code 48823	Telephone +1 (517) 351-6836
CPA Firm Name	Abraham & Gaffney, P.C.	Unit's Street Address 16101 Nine Mite	Road City E	astpointe	LU Zip 48021

# FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 30, 2008

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

## June 30, 2008

•	PAGE
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	1
BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
Statement of Assets and Liabilities	2
Notes to Financial Statements	3-4
OTHER SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	
Schedules of Cash Receipts and Disbursements	5

#### **Principals**

Dale J. Abraham, CPA Michael T. Gaffney, CPA Steven R. Kirinovic, CPA Aaron M. Stevens, CPA Eric J. Glashouwer, CPA Alan D. Panter, CPA



3511 Coolidge Road Suite 100 East Lansing, MI 48823 (517) 351-6836 FAX: (517) 351-6837

#### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Honorable Judge Norene Redmond Chief Judge, 38th District Court 16101 Nine Mile Road Eastpointe, Michigan 48021

We have audited the accompanying statement of assets and liabilities of the 38<sup>th</sup> District Court Funds as of June 30, 2008. This financial statement is the responsibility of the District Court's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in Note A, the financial statement presents only the 38<sup>th</sup> District Court Funds, and does not purport to, and does not, present fairly the financial position of the City of Eastpointe, Michigan, as of June 30, 2008, and the changes in its financial position for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the 38<sup>th</sup> District Court Funds as of June 30, 2008, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statement taken as a whole. The accompanying other supplementary information, as identified in the table of contents, is presented for the purpose of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statement. The other supplementary information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statement and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statement taken as a whole.

The accompanying financial statement of the 38<sup>th</sup> District Court Funds does not present a management's discussion and analysis (MD&A), which would be an analysis of the financial performance for the year. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board has determined that this analysis is necessary to supplement, although not required to be a part of, the basic financial statement.

ABRAHAM & GAFFNEY, P.C. Certified Public Accountants

braham & Gaffrey PC

July 24, 2008



#### 38th District Court Funds

## STATEMENT OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

June 30, 2008

	Agency Funds					
	Depo	ository		Restitution,		
ASSETS Cash	\$	-0-	\$	57,045		
LIABILITIES						
Due to:						
Others						
Bonds, restitution, and trust		-0-	\$	57,045		

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 30, 2008

#### NOTE A: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The financial statement of the 38<sup>th</sup> District Court Funds (the "District Court") has been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) as applied to governmental units. The District Court is governed by one elected judge. There are no component units. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the accepted standard-setting body for establishing governmental accounting and financial reporting principles. The District Court's more significant accounting policies are described below.

#### Reporting Entity

The accompanying financial statement presents only the Agency Funds of the 38<sup>th</sup> District Court, and does not purport to, and does not, present fairly the financial position of the City of Eastpointe, Michigan, as of June 30, 2008, and the changes in its financial position for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, nor does it include other revenue sources attributable to the District Court's operations (i.e., judicial salary subsidy, juror reimbursements, drunk driving caseflow, and drug caseflow reimbursements). The receipts and disbursements of the Agency Funds of the District Court are accounted for with a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprise its assets and liabilities.

#### 2. Basis of Presentation

The funds of the 38<sup>th</sup> District Court are Agency Funds. The financial activities of the funds are limited to fine and fee collections that are transferred to applicable agencies (City of Eastpointe, State of Michigan, Macomb County, others as noted) when processed and bonds, trust, and restitution collections that are subsequently returned or paid to third parties by the District Court. The funds are custodial in nature (assets equal liabilities) and do not involve the measurement of results of operations.

The operations of the District Court are included as a separate activity in the General Fund of the City of Eastpointe. The District Court Funds are included as fiduciary funds in the basic financial statements of the City of Eastpointe as of and for the year ended June 30, 2008.

#### 3. Cash

The District Court Funds' cash consists of checking accounts, with balances totaling \$57,045 at June 30, 2008.

#### NOTE B: CASH

In accordance with Michigan Compiled Laws, the District Court is authorized to invest in the following investment vehicles:

- Bonds, securities, and other obligations of the United States or an agency or instrumentality of the United States.
- 2. Certificates of deposit, savings accounts, deposit accounts, or depository receipts of a State or nationally chartered bank or a State or Federally chartered savings and loan association, savings bank, or credit union whose deposits are insured by an agency of the United States government and which maintains a principal office or branch office located in this State under the laws of the State or the United States, but only if the bank, savings and loan association, savings bank, or credit union is eligible to be a depository of surplus funds belonging to the State under section 5 or 6 of Act No. 105 of the Public Acts of 1855, as amended, being Section 21.145 and 21.146 of Michigan Compiled Laws.

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 30, 2008

#### **NOTE B: CASH - CONTINUED**

- Commercial paper rated at the time of purchase within the three (3) highest classifications established by not less than two (2) standard rating services and which matures not more than 270 days after the date of purchase.
- 4. The United States government or federal agency obligations repurchase agreements.
- Bankers' acceptances of United States banks.
- 6. Mutual funds composed of investment vehicles which are legal for direct investment by local units of government in Michigan.

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) regulations provide that deposits of governmental units are to be separately insured for the amount of \$100,000 for deposits in an insured bank for savings deposits and \$100,000 for demand deposits. Furthermore, if specific deposits are regulated by statute or bond indenture, these specific deposits are to be separately insured for the amount of \$100,000. Michigan Compiled Laws allow for collateralization of government deposits, if the assets for pledging are acceptable to the State Treasurer under Section 3 of 1855 PA 105, MCL 21.143, to secure deposits of State surplus funds, securities issued by the Federal Loan Mortgage Corporation, Federal National Mortgage Association, or Government National Mortgage Association.

#### Deposits

There is a custodial credit risk as it relates to deposits. In the case of deposits, this is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the District Court's deposits may not be returned to it. As of June 30, 2008, the carrying amount of the District Court's deposits was \$57,045 and the bank balances totaled \$234,398. As of June 30, 2008, the bank accounts were insured by the FDIC for \$100,000 and the amount of \$134,398 was uninsured and uncollateralized.

OTHER SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

#### 38th District Court Funds

## SCHEDULES OF CASH RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS

## Year Ended June 30, 2008

Depository Acccount	Bala July 1,			Additions		Deletions		alance 30, 2008
ASSETS Cash Fines and costs Interest	\$	<u>-</u>	\$	2,514,759 3,127	\$	2,514,759 3,127	\$	-0- -0-
	\$	-0-	\$	2,517,886	\$	2,517,886	\$	-0-
LIABILITIES  Due to:  State of Michigan  Department of Treasury	\$	-	\$	571,618	\$	571,618	\$	-0-
Secretary of State  Macomb County		-		28,058 18,478		28,058 18,478		-0- -0-
City of Eastpointe General receipts Interest Others		- -		1,812,754 3,127		1,812,754 3,127		-0- -0-
Amercian Credit Association ABN/AMRO		-		83,306 545		83,306 545		-0- -0-
	\$	-0-	\$	2,517,886	\$	2,517,886	\$	-0-
Bond, Restitution, and Trust Account								
ASSETS Cash	\$ 7	2,756	\$	694,056	\$	709,767		57,045
LIABILITIES  Due to others (open bonds)	\$ 7	2,756	\$	694,056	\$	709,767	\$	57,045